

OUR PRESENT SITUATION

The Allendale School was built in four sections. The original school, a two story structure, was erected in 1920. Since then, three wings and a cafeteria have been added to the main building. The school is located near the center of town on a plot of 6.3 acres. The Allendale Recreation Park is adjacent and used for school recreational programs.

At present the school has thirty-one instructional classrooms, one kindergarten classroom, library, auditorium, gymnasium, home economics room, industrial arts room, cafeteria and kitchen, clinic, corrective reading room, conference room, two faculty rooms and administrative offices.

Allendale has experienced rapid growth since 1950, similar to that of most of Bergen County. From 1950 (2408 persons) to 1960 (4069 persons) the population of Allendale nearly doubled. A dwelling census taken last year indicates a town population of about 5200. The effect on the school population has been just as great. In the past five years, our school population has increased from 726 pupils (K - 8) to ¹⁰⁸⁷1117 pupils. The present maximum rated capacity of our school is 903 students and without a kindergarten the maximum capacity would be 878. Although the educational ideal is 25 pupils per class, the above figures were arrived at by assigning 50 pupils per kindergarten (double sessions at the State-set limit of 25 each), 27 pupils per class in grades 1 - 6, and 30 pupils in grades 7 and 8.

This school year, 72 of our kindergarten pupils and 11 special education students are receiving their education in classrooms outside of the building. Eight classrooms have an enrollment of 25 - 29 pupils. Twenty-four classrooms have an enrollment of 30 - 37 students. The school is overcrowded.

In addition to overcrowding, we have several other serious physical deficiencies which are curtailing many segments of our program, such as: school library too small, no audio-visual aids room, no music room, no classrooms for special education and inadequate storage space for equipment and supplies.

What We Can Expect In The Next Five Years -

The Enrollment Projections indicate that we will have a total of 1430 students in September 1969. This means that we must provide additional classrooms to accommodate 552 pupils above our present rated capacity (878 plus 552 - 1430). It should be noted that in 1966 we have the pressing problem of providing facilities for 1242 children which is 364 above our present rated capacity.

Our professional consultant has projected the future elementary school enrollment on the basis of 60 new homes per year for the next five years. We examined this projection and took into account the possibility of a lesser growth rate per year. We calculated the effect of a rate of only 40 instead of 60, which would mean a difference of 100 homes over the five years. Translating this in terms of children at the rate of .80 elementary child per home, the extension is then 80 less children in the fifth year.

If we spread these fewer pupils over the 50 classrooms in the combined schools, we would have only 1 1/2 less children per room. Since we do not know exactly which grades would be affected, it is readily seen that our classroom requirements remain the same as projected. Some classes would be slightly smaller.

It is also significant to note that all projections like this in former years have turned out to be on the low side. This year we shall graduate 101 students. In April, we registered 124 kindergarten students for the 1965-66 year. Past experience indicates an average growth of 25 kindergarten children from the time of registration until the opening of school. This alone represents a net growth of 48 students in the school population. When we add to this the growth of the other classes, the actual enrollment again will exceed the projection.

Can We Meet The Classroom Shortage Without Construction?

Renting outside classrooms is satisfactory for a temporary bulge in enrollment, but our problem is not temporary. These makeshift arrangements are expensive, and in addition, the child who spends his years this way cannot make up the educational loss of a total school program. The facilities are usually below public school standards and are difficult to administer.

The rental cost of approximately \$2,000 a room does not permanently accrue to the benefit of the town. Further delays will again increase the ultimate cost of the necessary building program, and probably reduce the number of desirable sites available.

Referring to the Enrollment Projections, it is obvious that we may need up to 18 additional classrooms in 1966 - 67. We plan to operate on a double session basis for at least part of the 1966 - 67 school year until the new school is completed.

WHERE SHALL WE BUILD?

Since the last referendum, a new advisory committee consisting of 10 members, representing the Council, Planning Board, Board of Education, the P. T. O. and interested residents of Allendale was established to again review all available land areas in Allendale and recommend the most suitable 10 acre site. This 10 man committee acting independently and following thorough investigations, and discussions, unanimously recommended the Koole Property with the following comments:

1. The bulk of traffic from the western and southwest side of town will be intercepted without having to cross Crescent Ave.
2. This reasonable location will permit more children to walk to school and go home to lunch than other sites.
3. With the desirable feature of access to two main arteries, we are of the opinion that traffic can be controlled for efficient flow. Proposals considered include the following:
 - a. Widen Hillside Ave. in front of site to permit only the discharge of children.
 - b. Have all cars entering site, use Brookside-Donnybrook access for on-site discharge or pick-up.
4. No Bussing
5. Good soil characteristics
6. Readily accessible for Fire and Police Protection.

In addition, other favorable factors for this site are:

1. The size of the site (approximately 10.3 acres) is adequate for present and future needs.
2. The purchase price of the land is reasonable (\$65,000).
3. The development cost of the land will be minimal.
4. The site is free of industrial hazards, noise, and other distractions.
5. The nearly central location will simplify transportation.

In meeting with the Commissioner of Public Safety, Police and Fire Dept. Officials an understanding was reached whereby the necessary traffic control, police and fire protection will be developed.

Name of School Building - Allendale School #2; Grades to be housed - K-3.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
TRENTON 25

May 17, 1965

To the Commissioner of Education:

We submit herewith an estimate of costs and schematic plans pertaining to the application of the Board of Education of the Borough of Allendale in the County of Bergen for review prior to the hearing scheduled for

1. General Construction		\$ 360,250.00
2. Structural Steel and Miscellaneous Iron Work		-
3. Plumbing and Drainage Work		42,000.00
4. Heating and Ventilating Work		73,500.00
5. Electrical Work		57,750.00
Sub-Total - 5 Major Contracts		\$ 533,500.00 *
Alterations to Existing Buildings, If Any		
Site Work and Grading \$52,000.00; Paving \$32,000.00		\$ 84,000.00
All Utilities, Sewage Disposal System		30,000.00
Furn. & Equip. Movable \$27,000.00; Built in \$30,000.00		57,000.00
Architect's Fee - 6% of \$677,500.00		40,652.00
Any Other (Specify) Survey \$1,500.00; Testing \$3,500.00		5,000.00
Sub-Total		\$ 750,152.00
Contingency		\$ 27,000.00
Legal Fee And Other Bonding Costs \$10,000.00		
Cost of Site (No. of Acres - 9.9) 65,000.00		75,000.00
GRAND TOTAL COST		\$ 852,152.00

WHAT WILL IT COST?

Architect's estimates of costs, including landscaping and fees are given below. This total of \$852,152.00 is the bond authorization you will vote on at the referendum.

(See architect's sheet)

WHAT IS THE TAX COST?

CONCLUSION

It is the considered opinion of your Board of Education that a new school should be provided as quickly as possible for the following reasons:

1. To eliminate the overcrowded conditions of the present school.
2. To eliminate the need for renting classrooms, which is a continuing expense without providing equity.
3. To prepare additional educational facilities for 552 pupils.
4. To permit expansion of the school library, which is presently 50 per cent too small by state education standards.
5. To provide special-purpose rooms for Audio-Visual Aids, Music, Special Education, etc. which are presently not available.
6. To avoid double sessions continuing beyond 1967.

PUBLIC HEARING

June 16, 1965 - 8:15 P. M.

Allendale Public School Auditorium

Brookside Avenue

Allendale, New Jersey

REFERENDUM

June 24, 1965 - 2:30 - 9:00 P. M.

Allendale Public School

Brookside Avenue

Allendale, New Jersey

Allendale, New Jersey

Brookside Avenue

Allendale Public School

June 24, 1965 - 2:30 - 9:00 P.M.

REFERENDUM

Allendale, New Jersey

Brookside Avenue

Allendale Public School

June 16, 1965 - 8:15 P.M.

PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD OF EDUCATION
ALLENDALE, NEW JERSEY

Dear Neighbor:

The main points in this brochure have been recognized and considered by your Board of Education for more than two years.

We have met and worked with the School Administration, the Borough Council, the Planning Board, professional consultants, qualified residents and advisory committees.

The two most obvious problems are:

1. Present school is overcrowded
2. More children are coming

The earliest we can have this school ready for your children is January 1967, based on voter approval in this Referendum.

WHAT WILL IT COST?

Architect's estimates of costs, including landscaping and fees are given below. This total \$852,000 is the bond authorization you will vote on at the referendum.

Major Contracts	\$533,500.00	- \$15.78 per sq. ft.
Landscaping, Site Work and Grading...	52,000.00	
Paving	32,000.00	
Utilities (sewage)	30,000.00	
Built-In Furniture	<u>30,000.00</u>	
sub-total	\$677,500.00	- \$20.04 per sq. ft.
Cost of Site	65,000.00	
Architect's Fee	40,650.00	
Bonding and Legal Fees	10,000.00	
Equipment	26,850.00	
Miscellaneous, Survey, Testing, etc..	5,000.00	
Contingency	<u>27,000.00</u>	
GRAND TOTAL COST	\$852,000.00	

WHAT IS THE TAX COST?

Based on the sale of a \$852,000 bond issue at an estimated interest of 3.5 per cent amortized over a period of 24 years, the total cost of interest and bond retirement would be \$59,820 for the calendar year 1966. In 1967 this would be \$58,770 and thereafter drop as ratables increase and interest decreases for the remaining 22 years.

Using the 1965 assessed valuations, the additional tax is estimated as follows:

Tax Year	Rate per \$1,000 value	Monthly Cost on Home Assessment				
		\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$35,000	\$50,000
1966	1.52	\$1.90	\$2.54	\$3.17	\$4.44	\$6.34
1967	1.49	1.86	2.48	3.10	4.34	6.20
1968	1.46	1.83	2.44	3.05	4.27	6.10
1969	1.44	1.80	2.40	3.00	4.20	6.00
1970	1.41	1.76	2.35	2.94	4.11	5.88

Relating the above to our daily life, the additional cost to a homeowner of a home assessed at \$25,000 would be less than \$.11 per day in 1966. This would decrease in subsequent years due to declining interest charges and be further reduced as ratables increase.

WHERE WILL WE BUILD?

Since the last referendum, a new advisory committee consisting of ten members, representing the Council, Planning Board, Board of Education, the P.T.O. and interested residents of Allendale was established to again review all available land areas in Allendale and recommend the most suitable 10 acre site. This ten man committee acting independently, after thorough investigation and discussion, unanimously recommended the Koole property with the following comments:

1. The bulk of traffic from the west and southwest side of town will be intercepted without having to cross Crescent Avenue.
2. This reasonable location will permit more children to walk to school and go home to lunch than other sites.
3. With the desirable feature of access to two main arteries, we feel that traffic can be satisfactorily controlled for efficient flow.
4. No bussing.
5. Good soil characteristics.
6. Readily accessible for fire and police protection.

In addition, other favorable factors recognized by the Board of Education are:

- A. The size of the site (approximately 10.3 acres) is adequate for present and future needs.
- B. The purchase price of the land is reasonable (\$65,000).
- C. The development cost of the land will be minimal.
- D. The site is free of industrial hazards, noise and other distractions.
- E. The nearly central location will simplify personal transportation.

In a subsequent meeting with the Commissioner of Public Safety, Police Department and Fire Department officials, an understanding was reached whereby the necessary traffic control, police and fire protection will be developed.

AUDITORIUM RENOVATIONS

Brookside School

Feb. 27, 1971

DESCRIPTION OF PRESENT SCHOOL STRUCTURES

Construction - Brick and Steel Structure

Brookside School - 4 through 8

Original Building - 1929

11 Classrooms

1 Library

1 Combination gym and auditorium - Seating capacity - 624 - Stage is used as gym.

1 Faculty room

Height

Auditorium - 27 ft.

Gymnasium - 24 ft.

North Wing - 1951

6 Classrooms

1 Clinic

1 Home Economic Room

1 Industrial Arts Room

West Wing and Cafeteria - 1958

2 Kindergartens

7 Classrooms

1 Faculty Room

2 Office Rooms

Cafeteria

Capacity - 300

Height - 12 ft.

East Wing - 1962

6 Classrooms

1 Music Office

1 Conference and Corrective Reading Room

Hillside School - K through 3 - 1967

22 Classrooms

1 All purpose room with stage

Height - 16 ft.

Capacity - 300

1 Faculty Room

1 Clinic

2 Office Rooms

Gymnasium Building Addition - 1970-71

2 Station Gymnasium, Locker and Shower Rooms

Faculty Office

Storage Room

2 Classrooms

Health and Music Rooms

Science Experimental

Lab and Lecture Room

Maintenance Room

SCHOOL ENROLLMENTS

Actual

	June 1966	June 1967	June 1968	June 1969	June 1970	Jan. 1971
Kdg.	151	148	133	134	121	114
1	125	166	163	142	139	123
2	167	144	169	166	138	135
3	140	174	144	161	154	142
4	119	143	175	149	162	162
5	134	136	143	168	144	161
6	140	140	132	144	168	145
7	89	132	136	140	147	170
8	136	99	130	139	145	154
Sp. Ed.	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>22</u>
Elem. Total	1210	1295	1347	1368	1339	1328

ALLENDALE STUDENTS

9	117	114	106	133	139	141
10	95	111	129	107	129	145
11	83	92	110	131	92	125
12	--	73	92	114	129	93
Special	--	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
Secondary T.	<u>295</u>	<u>423</u>	<u>440</u>	<u>458</u>	<u>492</u>	<u>507</u>
Total	<u>1505</u>	<u>1718</u>	<u>1787</u>	<u>1826</u>	<u>1831</u>	<u>1835</u>

Projected

	June 1972	June 1973	June 1974	June 1975	June 1976
Kdg.	120	124	124	126	125
1	121	125	129	131	130
2	123	127	132	136	134
3	136	127	133	138	140
4	141	147	134	139	141
5	162	148	148	138	142
6	161	167	149	155	160
7	145	168	170	154	158
8	170	147	169	175	176
Sp. Ed.	<u>21</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
Elementary Total	1300	1305	1312	1317	1331

PROJECTED (cont.)

ALLENDALE STUDENTS

	June 1972	June 1973	June 1974	June 1975	June 1976
9	147	153	140	169	175
10	132	144	149	145	170
11	144	128	140	154	148
12	<u>126</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>145</u>	<u>157</u>
Secondary Total	<u>549</u>	<u>565</u>	<u>553</u>	<u>613</u>	<u>650</u>
Total	1849	1870	1865	1930	1981

Consultants from N. Y. U. were engaged by the Allendale Board of Education during the winter of 1964 to conduct an enrollment study and to make recommendations concerning the need for additional facilities in Allendale. Recommendations were made to improve the deficiencies in certain educational facilities of the Brookside School which are essential to a modern curriculum. The gymnasium and auditorium were included in this analysis.

The study and survey were conducted during the Spring of 1964 under the direction of Dr. Roscoe Brown. The figures have been up-dated based on subsequent actual enrollments.

USE OF AUDITORIUM

Present

P. T. O. - General Meeting - School Program
 Little Theatre
 Troop #59 B. S. A.
 Cub Pack #59
 International Baton Twirling Ass'n.
 Holiday Observers (Halloween)

Christmas Concert
 Spring Concert
 Back To School Night
 Exhibit Night
 Assembly Programs (limited use)
 Faculty Workshops (limited use)
 Visual Aids - Films - television (limited use)
 Sing-Song Assembly (limited use)

Future

Extension of Classroom Work
 Team Teaching
 Study Halls
 Dramatics
 Public Speaking

Future Use - continued

- Sing-Songs**
- Visual Aides**
- Teacher Workshops**
- Faculty Meetings**
- General Staff Meetings**
- Band Rehearsals**
- Choir Rehearsals**
- Inter-Visitations**
- Community Purposes -**
 - Children's Groups**
 - Adult Groups**

NOTES (Personal Use)

April 14, 1965

To: Allendale Board of Education, Allendale, New Jersey

Subject: Site Selection Committee Report

Following the unsuccessful referendum in February 1965, the Board of Education met with members of the Borough Council, Planning Board, and other interested residents of Allendale to discuss the selection of a suitable site for the necessary school building program.

This group then met with representatives of the State Department of Education to review the prerequisites of a good school building program. At that meeting, an informal poll was taken indicating unanimous opposition (two abstentions) against using any part of the Recreation Field as present school site for further school building purposes.

The Site Selection Committee was appointed and comprised of two members each of the Board of Education, the Council, the Planning Board, and four representatives of the Citizens' Advisory Group. This Committee reviewed and inspected potentially useable sites that would fill the requirements for the school program as outlined by the Board of Education and the School Administration.

Sites Reviewed

Initial Action

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Atkinson and Temperlyn,
Heights Road | Rejected - poor access, costly drainage requirements, perimeter of town. |
| 2. Archibald,
Brookside Avenue | Rejected - substantial fill required in pond area, irregular shape. |
| 3. Bajor,
Franklin Turnpike | Inspected - see below. |
| 4. Blaikie,
Behind Powell Road | Rejected - poor access, partial bog land, requires substantial fill, perimeter of town. |
| 5. Collins,
off Brookside Avenue | Inspected - see below |
| 6. Crestwood Lake,
N. part of 100 acres | Rejected - proposed industrial site, not for sale. |
| 7. Feher,
behind Allen Street | Rejected - adjacent to railroad, poor access, costly drainage and fill requirements |
| 8. Foster,
off East Allendale Ave. | Rejected - perimeter of town, costly drainage and fill requirements. |
| 9. Koolo,
Hillside Avenue | Inspected - see below. |
| 10. Marlow Pump,
West Crescent Avenue | Inspected - see below |

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 11. Phair,
West Crescent Avenue | Rejected - not for sale. |
| 12. Thonus,
East Allendale Ave. | Inspected - see below |
| 13. Vanderbeck,
East Crescent Ave. | Rejected - not for sale. |

Sites InspectedObservations

- | | |
|--|---|
| 3. Bajor,
Franklin Turnpike
18 + acres
Purchase price - unknown;
not yet established by owner;
income-bearing farm, would
command high price. | Reasonably located in town, well away
from main thoroughfare, good orienta-
tion, clear site, houses and barns on
site, considerable grading required,
approximately one-half of the 18 acres
in bog, surrounding hazards require
fencing, irregular shaped parcel, future
expansion limited. |
| 5. Collins,
off Brookside Avenue
16 + acres
Purchase price - \$125,000 which
includes approximately 7 addi-
tional acres in Wyckoff; owner
has not established price of
acreage in Allendale. | Located on perimeter of town, adjoins
Waldwick and Wyckoff, high, good ground,
heavily wooded, access through Paul Ave.
and Schuyler Rd., well shaped parcel, no
apparent hazards, would require bus
transportation to N., N.E., and S.E.
sections of town, future expansion good. |
| 9. Koole,
Hillside Ave.
10 + acres
Purchase price - \$60,000
(plus \$5,000 adjoining access) | Reasonably located in town, on main tho-
roughfare, good orientation, clear site,
house and barn on site, grading and
slope drainage required, approximately
4 acres heavily wooded requiring drain-
age, access through Donnybrook Dr. and
Hillside Ave., well shaped parcel, no
apparent hazards, future expansion good. |
| 10. Marlow Pump,
West Crescent Ave.
10 + acres
Purchase price - \$90,000 approx-
imately - difficult to ascer-
tain as owner keeps changing
price. | Reasonably located in town, on main tho-
roughfare, poor building and playground
orientation, irregular shape of parcel
makes use of whole site difficult, fill
required, hazards: adjacent to railroad,
adjacent to industrial zone, junction
of Myrtle Avenue close, railroad over-
pass limits vision on West Crescent,
future expansion poor. |
| 12. Thonus,
East Allendale Ave.
15 + acres
Purchase price - \$75,000 | Located near perimeter of town, on main
thoroughfare, good orientation, clear
site, houses and barn on site, consider-
able grading required, well shaped par-
cel, access to East Allendale Ave., is
on hazardous curve, future expansion
good. |

RECOMMENDATION

At the committee meetings on March 30 and April 15 plus a telephone conversation with the one absentee, the UNANIMOUS opinion of the 10 man committee is in favor of the Kocle property. In addition to the physical description previously noted, the committee based its decision on the following:

- A. The bulk of traffic from the western and southwest side of town will be intercepted without having to cross Crescent Ave.
- B. This reasonable location will permit more children to walk to school and go home to lunch than other sites.
- C. With the desirable feature of access to two main arteries, we are of the opinion that traffic can be controlled for efficient flow. Proposals considered include the following:
 - 1. Widen Hillside Avenue in front of site to permit only the discharge of children.
 - 2. Have all cars entering site use Brookside-Dannybrook access for on site discharge or pick-up.
- D. No Bussing
- E. Good soil characteristics
- F. Readily accessible for Fire and Police Protection.

The committee further recommends that the school Board and its Architect meet with the Police Commissioner and the Chief of Police, as soon as possible, so that everything necessary for proper traffic flow be included in the plans.

The committee also discussed the possibility of proposing the acquisition of the Kaplan land (6 acres) adjacent to the Kocle property. This would permanently guarantee future Boards of Education the necessary land for unforeseen enlargement of school facilities in the years ahead.

Respectfully submitted,

Ray Larkin (Council member)
 John Morton (Council member)
 David McCormick (School Board)
 Elias Schoen (School Board)
 Ralph Beal (Planning Board)
 Harold Tate (Planning Board)
 Robert Norman (Citizen)
 Leo Slack (Citizen)
 Richard Van Houten (Citizen)
 Herbert Lange (Citizen - PTO)

April 13, 1965

Selection of School Site

A joint meeting of the Allendale Board of Education, the Borough Council, Planning Board, representatives of the P. T. O. and citizen members was held Wednesday, March 10, 1965 to begin a second study for a site selection for the proposed elementary school (K - 3).

John R. Clichrist, architect for the Board of Education, presented seven different plans showing the possibility of building on the present school site and recreation area. Each plan was studied and discussed in terms of its feasibility. Mr. Seibel and Mr. Dorer presented the administrative and educational problems which would be encountered in adding to the present school site.

Dr. Edward Spare and Mr. Charles Updyke, School Plant Planning Consultants of the State Department of Education, made the following recommendations for school house construction and site selection to the borough boards:

1. It is suggested by the State Department that there be provided a minimum of five acres, plus an additional acre for each 100 pupils for an elementary school; a minimum of twenty acres plus an additional acre for each 100 pupils for a junior high school (Gr. 7 - 9).
2. Dr. Spare quoted from the National Council of Schoolhouse Construction that an elementary school size should not exceed 500 students. This fact is based on the empirical knowledge of educators across the nation.
3. In the state of New Jersey, 79% of the elementary schools are under 600 in enrollment. The present Allendale School is an elementary school.
4. School buildings should be kept to a size where a home-like atmosphere can be maintained where the principal and teachers can know the children and their families, where the staff can work as a close knit unit in school improvement. It is frustrating for a new child to enter a large building. An informal atmosphere in a small class is similar to a small school.
5. Large elementary buildings present safety hazards and control problems.
6. It is more economical to build a large school but it is detrimental to the education of the children.
7. During the discussion, it was shown that capital cost under which the site purchase costs are included, comprise only 10 - 12% of the entire educational expenditure. The school building and all permanent equipment which are also capital costs, reduce the land cost to less than one percent. It was also pointed out that the only additional capital cost a second site would add, would be the

annual land cost. Spread out over thirty years or forty years, the total additional annual cost to the borough amounts to \$1500 - \$2000, based upon an acquisition cost of \$60,000.

With two members abstaining, the final vote was unanimous that a separate site be selected for the proposed elementary school. A site selection committee comprised of representatives from the citizens of Allendale, the Borough Council, Planning Board, P. T. O. and Board of Education was named. Mr. Elias Schoen, Board of Education, was selected as chairman. The first meeting of the site selection committee was set for March 23, 1965.

H. C. Seibel, Superintendent
Allendale Public School

Appendix

ADMINISTRATIVE AND EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS RELATED TO BUILDING ON THE PRESENT SITE OR RECREATIONAL AREA

1. Too large a concentration of students in one area
2. Cafeteria and auditorium would be inadequate
3. Building would be too spread out for proper supervision, discipline and control
4. Scheduling of library, cafeteria, gymnasium and departmental program would be most difficult
5. With the library, clinic and auditorium in the main building there would be excessive traffic in this area
6. Continued maintenance problems from overuse, on original building and wings
7. A large building is difficult to supervise and check during after-school hours and weekends
8. Dispersal of student population would create many safety problems, 3 P.M., Fire Drill, etc.
9. Too many classes would be playing too close to the building while other classes are in session. This situation already exists.
10. Lack of adequate paved play areas
11. Administrative offices would be too remote from new additions
12. Inadequate parking facilities for picking up and discharging students
13. Indoor lunch periods and 30 minutes duty-free lunch time for teachers (required by law) would be a problem
14. Restricted land area for expansion
15. If we added to this site or on the recreation area, we would only be compounding the problems that already exist